

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. 308, B-Wing, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

File No.CIC/LS/A/2013/000300

Appellant : Lalit Kishore Dagar
Respondent : IOCL
Date of hearing : 19.2.2013
Date of decision : 19.2.2013

FACTS

The matter was called for hearing on 19.2.2013. The parties did not appear before the Commission. Hence, the matter is being decided on the basis of material on record.

2. I have perused the material on record. It appears to be the grievance of the appellant that the postal order sent by him to the CPIO was returned for the reason that the name of the payee had not been mentioned therein. Suffice to say that postal order becomes a valid instrument only when it is made payable to a particular payee. It is equally important to mention that an application for information under the RTI Act becomes valid only when it is accompanied by a valid postal order. As the postal order did not contain the name of the payee, the RTI Act cannot be said to have come into operation. The grievance of the appellant is misconceived. Dismissed.

Sd/-

(M.L. Sharma)

Central Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges, prescribed under the Act, to the CPIO of this Commission.

(K L Das)
Dy. Registrar

Address of parties

1. The PIO
IOCL, Marketing Division,
Rajasthan State Office, Ashok Chowk,
Adarsh Nagar, Jaipur – 302004

2. Shri Lalit Kishore Dagar
119 Gayatri Nagar, Bheelwada,
Rajasthan – 311401